

# Public Utilities Commission

## Agenda

Tuesday, November 26, 2013

9:30 AM start time

Utilities represented: Telecom, Electricity, Natural Gas, Energy Facilities

To view all documents related to the following Agenda items, visit [eDockets](#)

---

### DELIBERATION ITEMS

*No Items*

---

### DECISION ITEMS

**\*1 P6910/NA-13-496    Telco Experts, LLC    Denied without prejudice**

In the Matter of the Application of Telco Experts, LLC for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Resold Local Exchange and Interexchange Services. (PUC: Oberlander; DOC: [Comments](#) - Heaston) **NOTE:** The Company did not file reply comments. Staff supports the DOC's recommendation to deny the Company's application for authority without prejudice.

**2 E295,112/SA-13-818    Princeton Public Utilities;    Approved  
East Central Energy**

Joint Request of Princeton Public Utilities and East Central Energy for Approval of a Service Territory Agreement. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: [Comments](#) - Lusti) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the Department's October 11, 2013 comments.

**3 E017/M-13-977    Otter Tail Power Company    Approved**

Otter Tail Power Company's Petition for Approval of a Variance to the Customer Service Rules Governing Billing Errors. (PUC: Fournier; DOC: [Comments](#) - Zajicek) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the Department's comments.

**4 E017/M-13-916    Otter Tail Power Company    Approved**

Annual Petition of Otter Tail Power Company for Updating the Composite rate (Section 14.10, WAPA Bill Crediting Program) and the request for extension of the related Accounting Variance. (PUC: Alonso; DOC: [Comments](#) - Lusti) **NOTE:** Staff agrees with the DOC recommendation.

<b>*5 E015/M-13-410</b>	<b>Minnesota Power</b>	<b>Approved as amended; Co directed to remove capitalized internal costs in calculation of AFUDC in future filings</b>
-------------------------	------------------------	--

In the Matter of Minnesota Power's Petition for approval of its 2013 Renewable Resources Rider Factor.

Should the Commission approve Minnesota Power's 2013 Renewable Resources Rider rates? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Morrissey, Kaml, Dasinger)

<b>*6 G007,011/AI-10-783</b>	<b>Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation (MERC)</b>	<b>Approved Agreement approved in ILL and Wisc; required termination of AIA in Docket 06-1052; required additional filings</b>
------------------------------	--	--

In the Matter of the Petition of Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement

Should the Commission approve the proposed Agreement as approved by the Illinois Commerce Commission and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, including the Addendum?

Should the Commission require MERC to file reports and studies, and if so, when should they be filed? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Bender, Dasinger)

<b>*7 ET2/TL-12-1245</b>	<b>Great River Energy</b>	<b>Took no action</b>
--------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------

In the Matter of the Application of Great River Energy for a Route Permit for a 115 kV Transmission Line Project in the Elko New Market and Cleary Lake Areas in Scott and Rice Counties, Minnesota.

What action should the Commission take regarding route alternatives to be evaluated in the environmental assessment? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Ham)

<b>*8 E015/TL-13-805</b>	<b>Minnesota Power</b>	<b>Accepted application as complete; appointed public advisor; granted variance; referred to OAH for summary proceedings</b>
--------------------------	------------------------	--

In the Matter of the Minnesota Power Route Permit Application for the Canisteo HVTL Project in Itasca County.

Should the Commission find the route permit application complete? Should the Commission refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings for a contested case proceeding? Should the Commission appoint a public advisor? Should the Commission establish an advisory task force? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaluzniak)

<b>*9 E002/CN-11-332; E002/TL-11-948</b>	<b>Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy</b>	<b>Found EA Complete; Adopted ALJ Report with Modifications; Granted route permit with conditions</b>
--	--	---

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Certificate of Need for the Southwest Twin Cities Scott County – Westgate 115 kV Transmission Line Project;

In the Matter of the Application of Xcel Energy for a Route Permit for the Southwest Twin Cities Scott County – Westgate 115 kV Transmission Line Project.

Should the Commission find that the Environmental Assessment on this project is complete and addresses the Scoping Decision of the Department of Commerce? Should the Commission grant a Certificate of Need for the proposed 115 kV transmission line project? Should the Commission grant a Route Permit for the proposed 115 kV transmission line project? If approved, should the Commission include any additional permit conditions? (PUC: [Briefing Papers](#) - Kaluzniak)

\* One star indicates agenda item is unusual but is not disputed.

\*\* Two stars indicate a disputed item or significant legal or procedural issue to be resolved.

(Ex Parte Rules apply)